



Performance Operating Framework
Directorate Review Sheet 2017-2018
Peer Reviewer Form

Name of Academic Directorate: <insert name of directorate here>

Name of Reviewer: <insert name or reviewer here>

Each of the statements in **SECTION A** and **SECTION B** should be rated on a scale of 1 – 5 based on the criteria below:

- 1 – Not Adequate
- 2 – Adequate
- 3 – Good
- 4 – Very Good
- 5 – Excellent

SECTION A – Directorate Review Documents

Please add a rating for each statement in the space provided and some brief comments to support your rating **if you wish**.

A1. The documentation is well written, clearly outlining the Directorate's performance 2017/2018.

- Rating:
- Comments:

A2. The Directorate is on schedule to achieve its POF targets for 2017/2018.

- Rating:
- Comments:

A3. The Directorate is on schedule to achieve its Annual Plan objectives for 2017/2018.

- Rating:
- Comments:

A4. The directorate's metrics indicate that it is providing a good return for the level of STH Investment

- Rating:
- Comments:



SECTION B – Directorate Research Strategy

- B1.** The documentation is well written, clearly outlining the strategy for the Directorate.
- Rating:
 - Comments:
- B2.** The Directorate strategy links back to the STH Research Strategy (so increasing the quality, quantity and volume of high impact clinical research).
- Rating:
 - Comments:
- B3.** The Directorate strategy increases the capacity and capability of performing clinical research.
- Rating:
 - Comments:
- B4.** The Directorate strategy will increase the research income into the Trust.
- Rating:
 - Comments:
- B5.** The Directorate strategy aims to increase the number of patient accruals.
- Rating:
 - Comments:
- B6.** The Directorate KPIs targets are appropriate, realistic and SMART.
- Rating:
 - Comments:
- B7.** The Directorate has identified the barriers to delivering their strategy and considered mechanisms to overcome them.
- Rating:
 - Comments:
- B8.** The Science described within the Directorate's research strategy, publications, grants and clinical research outputs are of the quality expected of an Academic Directorate
- Rating:
 - Comments:



The
University
Of
Sheffield.

Sheffield Teaching Hospitals **NHS**
NHS Foundation Trust

SECTION C - Please answer statements C1 one of the following statements –

- 'Yes'
- 'No'
- 'Further Information Required'

C1. The Directorate should be awarded Academic Status for 2018/2019

- Recommendation:
- Comments:

C2. Concluding comments (for example what are the directorate's strengths and weaknesses)